



Appl. No.10/601.918  
Reply to Office Action of 06/19/2006  
Replacement Specification

## SPECIFICATION

## **TITLE OF THE INVENTION**

**A Method To Treat Water With Dissolved Gas.**

## **CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION**

**Not Applicable**

## **STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT**

**Not Applicable**

## **THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT**

**Not Applicable**

## **INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIALS SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC**

**Not Applicable**

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a field of water treatment for drinking or any other purposes from polluting agents by a dissolved gas.

### DESCRIPTION OF RELATED ART

In the USA currently most of the water for drinking is treated by a gravitational method. In this method flocculants are added to the water to destabilize colloids that further flocculate and eventually precipitate. Because most of pollutants other than particles are adsorbed by these colloids this method removes these non particulate pollutants from the water as well. The amount of added flocculants is directly proportional to the volume of treated water. The price of these flocculants contributes a major part of drinking water total cost and for very large volumes of water this price is high.

Another problem with the conventional gravitational or sedimentation method is that it does not have an ability to treat water from certain pollutants like those ones of a biological origin. Other methods have to be utilized additionally to the gravitational method, for example adsorption which further increases the total drinking water cost.

A method of Dissolved Air Flotation (the DAF) is well known to treat water from contaminating agents of a colloid size and of biological origin. The DAF provides several advantages over a sedimentation process and is widely used to separate solids from liquids in treatment and pre-treatment of wastewater. It could be operated on a continuous basis: the USA Patents # 4,902,429 and 5,055,184. Unfortunately the DAF cannot be used as a main treatment process in public water treatment systems because it is not productive enough and consecutively is not very popular in the USA.

There are two main reasons of this lack of productivity. The first one is a presence of a diffuser in this method. This diffuser slows the flow of water to a flotation cell from a gas saturation chamber where the high pressure of gas is necessarily maintained.

The second reason is the small size of the formed gas bubbles. Only small gas bubbles can be used to collect colloids because of a hydrodynamic restriction. Surfactants that are added to the water to stabilize gas bubbles prevent the same gas bubbles from growing. This small size makes gas bubbles rise to the surface very slow.

Contrary to the DAF the process of Water Streams Self-Purification (the SP) does not lack productivity. Thousands of liters of water per second were treated in our in-stream experiments and the process of water purification was almost instant. This process only

partially resembles the GAF. In the SP most of the air bubbles formed there are not stable because in nature no surfactants are added to the water. More details about the SP experiments could be found in the following web publications:

[http://www.arxiv.org/find/physics/1/au:+mikhailovskii/0/1/0/all,past/0/1?skip=0&query\\_id=9c1f8a7d75d1d60b](http://www.arxiv.org/find/physics/1/au:+mikhailovskii/0/1/0/all,past/0/1?skip=0&query_id=9c1f8a7d75d1d60b).

These experiments were conducted in nature by installation of Froth Collecting Traps (the FCT) across water streams at selected sites. At that time all or at least a substantial part of the removed from the water contaminants were considered by us to be contained within the collected froth. The SP process was proposed to be used either for environment protection/remediation or drinking water treatment: Canadian Patent Applications # 2,277,540 and # 2,302,110.

However in the same experiments it was monitored that a vast majority of air bubbles decayed almost immediately after rising to the top and the volume of the collected froth did not increase after a short period of time. This implied a balance between the amounts of decayed air bubbles within the collected froth and newly delivered.

However all the time newly arrived froth delivered next portions of contaminants and if the remaining froth absorbed these contaminants then the concentration of contaminants within the froth had to grow indefinitely. This was obviously impossible and some other process responsible for contaminants removal from the froth had to take place. This process of the pollutants further removal from the froth makes a major difference between the mechanisms of the SP and the GAF.

Later experiments revealed that the SP method did not work at all or worked poorly at several tested sites, particularly when the FCT was installed downstream from waterfalls, dams or any other sites with a turbulent water movement at a site of froth collection. That demonstrated that just a froth collection alone was not sufficient enough for the claimed method to work.

In another experiment a second Froth Collecting Trap was installed downstream from the first one. Both of the installed traps collected froth. This fact implied that naturally occurring in the water surfactants, collected by the second FCT, bypassed the first FCT. These surfactants at the second site were either not collected by air bubbles at the first site or more likely released into the water after the decay of air bubbles at the first site of froth collection. The self-purification process however took place at a site of the first froth collection so a decay of air bubbles and consequent release of surfactants did not affect the process of contaminants removal, quite a contrary to flotation.

In the next experiment the FCT was installed at an angle to the current and there was an opening at the downstream end of the trap so the top water could move through it altogether with collected froth. This FCT still accumulated some froth along itself. This collected froth was also moved along the FCT by a top water current toward the opening.

Finally the froth that moved along the FCT was released downstream through the opening in the FCT.

A clear track of the froth was monitored downstream from the FCT until the last of the air bubbles decayed. Chemical analyses of the water samples indicated that the self-purification process was taking place although the collected froth was eventually bypassing the FCT. This fact demonstrated that the froth released through the opening did not contain contaminants at the time of release.

In another set of experiments the FCT was installed at a site where water was moving fast at a center of the stream and there was a backwater current close the bank. The froth was moving along the FCT and later taken from the FCT upstream by the backwater current. Very little of the froth was present at any moment next to the trap and the froth decayed soon after being taken by the backwater current. Chemical analyses showed that the self-purification effect still took place there.

These experiments confirmed already formulated conclusion that a removal of the froth accumulated by the FCT was useless. Correspondingly it was considered that the claimed method could not be explained by a flotation alone. Consequently the FCT installation alone was insufficient for the claimed method to work. As a conclusion the Method claimed by the quoted Canadian Patent Application # 2,302,110 for drinking water treatment was considered as not to merit requirements for a patent granting and thus this Patent Application was abandoned.

Currently the authors consider a mechanism of the studied self-purification as the following. After some disturbances take place in the water, the air already dissolved there is no longer at equilibrium with the water. At this point the air molecules start to form bubbles. Present in the water colloid pollutant particles act as nuclei. Similar to any phase transition process this initial nuclei presence facilitate the process of bubbles formation.

Because of the absence of surfactants in the water or due to insufficient concentrations of these surfactants, the air bubbles continue to grow during the whole process, quickly becoming large enough to rise fast to the top, where they also quickly decay and leave the delivered pollutants on or close to a top of the water. Because of a very small size these contaminants do not precipitate at all or their precipitation rate is extremely small. With a constant delivery of new contaminants their concentration within a thin top water layer increases in time.

However this process of increase could continue only to a certain limit. A process of a concentration coagulation is well known – an increase in contaminants concentration increases a probability of coagulation. If a substantial amount of the contaminants is delivered to the top, eventually flocks would be formed there. Finally large and heavy flocks will rapidly precipitate.

From the mechanism presented it could be expected that there would be some time margin during which all the contaminants lifted to the top are comprised within a thin top layer of the water. The one process that could obstruct this concentration is mixing of this top layer with underlying water.

This happens most of the time in nature where as a result of turbulence air bubbles are formed and contaminants are delivered to the water top. If this top water layer is not immobilized by some obstacle than it moves downstream as well as the main water body. If downstream there are bottom obstacles to water movement this results in further turbulent mixing of the water layers. The lifted contaminants return to the water body. Only if the top water layer is immobilized than a constant delivery of new portions of contaminants would complete the SP process.

If at some site there is a big difference in velocity of the water movement this causes a lateral backwater current. However if a FCT is installed and a top water layer is immobilized but an adjacent water is moving fast, the same reason would cause a backward movement of the top water layer. This movement could be both vertical and lateral with eventual mixing of the top water layer with the water bulk. Thus for the described process of contaminants concentration within a thin top water layer both a turbulent and a circular mixing of water layers must not take place or be suppressed.

In the SP gas bubbles are formed if there is turbulence within the water. Providing that the water is moving fast this turbulence could be caused by any obstacles to water movement like rocks, boulders, steps, dams, etc. Dams actually could be used to cause any water to move rapidly enough for the SP method to be implemented. This turbulence could be achieved in a variety of well known ways, for example by some elongated elements put into the water like in the US patent 5,514, 284, or by water flowing through a section with a free fall of the water like in the US Patent 6,042, 724, or by arranging a combination of turbulent and non-turbulent zones like in the US Patent 6,043,067.

It has to be noted that under normal conditions only very limited volume of gas is dissolved and only a part of this gas further forms gas bubbles. Thus in this scenario an amount of obtained gas bubbles would be sufficient only to deal with not very polluted raw water, or this method can be a step in some further technological chain of treatment processes.

Advantages of the SP are both productivity and cost effectiveness. A disadvantage is caused by water movement hydrodynamics. With substantial volumes of the treated water it is not easy to arrange that all portions of the water were evenly turbulentized and treated uniformly. Due to the hydrodynamic changes it looks to be difficult to treat varying volumes of the water.

Another way to obtain gas bubbles is utilized in a Dissolved Air Flotation (the DAF) or in a kind of the DAF a Gas Assisted Flotation (the GAF) where some gas under pressure is initially dissolved within the water and gas bubbles result from further pressure decrease.

This way allows to obtain necessary gas bubbles for treatment of substantially polluted water. However dissolution of the gas in the water takes time and adds a technological step to the treatment process thus increasing the total cost.

The same way was utilized in water treatment method for pressure stabilized removal of pollutants in the US patent 6,398, 968. The method of this Patent utilizes dissolution of gas under pressure with further pressure decrease, with simultaneous addition of ferric salt to remove arsenic species from the water. An addition of the ferric oxide within this method is principal because alone a concentration of arsenic there is not enough to form large flocks. Differently to the SP there is no accumulation of arsenic in a top water layer in an in-stream application of this method, and thus there have to be a substantial concentration of particles within the water to form flocks large to precipitate. Similar to the SP these precipitated flocks have to be later removed from a bulk of the water which would add to the total cost of water treatment.

A productivity of a Gas Assisted Flotation is limited by some slowing device, for example a diffuser, between a chamber where a high gas pressure is maintained and a flotation cell where the pressure is normal. This device is necessary only because of this pressure difference. At the same time it is well known that at a bottom of water tower a pressure is determined by the height of water column within this tower. This pressure is utilized for example in the US Patent 5,514, 284 where water is flowing downstream through filtering stages forced by this pressure.

Thus if said chamber where water is under a high pressure is connected directly to a bottom of a water tower the pressure of the water column could compensate the high pressure within that chamber, either completely or partly. In the last case the water would be moving from the chamber through the water tower, rising while moving, this forced by the pressure difference. A violent eruption of the water will not take place in this case.

## BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

A basic idea of the presented method to treat water from polluting agents is to utilize non stable gas bubbles. These gas bubbles originate from molecules dissolved previously in the water. Initially these bubbles are small and are able to collect objects of a colloid size and large organic molecules i.e. objects that are very difficult to remove by conventional methods.

Being not stabilized these bubbles continue to grow while rising, thus contrary to a Dissolved Air Flotation the process of rise is fast. Delivered to a water top and released there contaminants because of a very small size do not precipitate substantially and are accumulated within a thin top water layer. Eventually these concentrated contaminants are removed with this top water layer for further treatment and thus a main water body is treated from these pollutants. Another option is a process of eventual aggregation and flocculation of delivered to a water top contaminants followed by a precipitation.

A process can be applied on a continuous basis and thus match in productivity a conventional gravitational or sedimentation method. An Apparatus for treatment by the disclosed method is presented. This Apparatus utilizes a principle of a water tower, thus avoiding any slowing device like a diffuser. This process can be used to treat fast large volumes of water contrary to a Dissolved Air Flotation. Because no chemicals are added to the water to treat it the process is cost effective comparing to a sedimentation method.



## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS.

A water treatment process similar to the DAF process but by not stabilized gas bubbles takes place in a Tank 1. as it is presented by a FIG 1. Water 7 fills almost all of a volume of said Tank 1.

In one preferred embodiment an agitation of said water by a Propeller 3 causes turbulence within said water followed by a formation of gas bubbles. Because no flocculants were added to said water said gas bubbles grow in size during rise to a water Top 8. In another preferred embodiment also presented by the FIG 1 said gas bubbles originate from gas previously dissolved in said water under pressure. Said gas is delivered by a Pipe 2.

Said gas bubbles decay on said water Top 8 and release delivered contaminants. As a result with time a top water layer will be enriched with said contaminants. When the treatment process is finished, a predetermined top volume of said water is drained through a separate drainage Valve 5.

A Schematics of continuous treatment process is presented by FIG 2 and FIG 3. An Arrow shows the direction of the water movement there. A FIG 2 is a view from the top while a FIG 3 presents a side view of the process. Water initially flows fast by some shallow and narrow Channel 21 wherein Obstacles 28 cause turbulence. Later it enters a first zone of wide and deep Pond 22 where a formation of gas bubbles starts. Within the second zone of said Pond 22 gas bubbles rise rapidly to the top and pass through a Separator 24. Said Separator 24 simultaneously suppresses a turbulent movement of water through it and separates a top water enriched with contaminants from a main body of it. While a main body of water flows further by a Channel 27 a top water level enriched with contaminants flows by a Pipe 26 for further treatment.

A preferred embodiment of said Separator 24 is presented by a FIG 4. Said Separator 24 is constructed in a form of plain thick lateral Sheet 31 in a form of a lattice with Cells 33 opened from both ends. A height and width of said individual Cell 33 is sufficient enough to prevent a turbulent movement of water through it.

Another preferred embodiment of said Separator 24 is as follows. Said Separator 24 consists from a lower and an upper parts.

Said parts are connected by Pipes 42. Wherein a lower part of said Separator 24 is a lattice with cone shaped Cells 33 ending into said Pipes 42 an upper part presented by FIG 5 is a Tank 41 filled with water up to a water Surface 44, said Pipes 42 almost reaching the water Surface 44 within this Tank 41. Obstacles 43 prevent a return of contaminants back into water body.

A preferred embodiment of an Apparatus for a continuous water treatment with non-stable gas bubbles is presented by the FIG 6. An Arrow 51 shows a direction of water

movement through said Apparatus. Said water enters said Apparatus by a vertical Shaft 52 and flows down to a Tunnel 53 which is a gas saturation unit. Later the water enters a Shaft 54 and rises to reach a Tunnel 55 wherein a formation, growth and rise of gas bubbles takes place. While water flows further by the Tunnel 55 gas bubbles with attached contaminants rise through cavities 56 at the Tunnel 55 top to enter the Pipes 57.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

It should be clearly understood that the components of the presented invention as described herein could be arranged and designed in a variety of different configurations. Thus the following embodiments are not intended to limit the scope of the invention as it is claimed.

The term “water” herein designates any water with contaminants, pollutants, contaminating or polluting agents. The terms contaminants, pollutants, contaminating or polluting agents are further used interchangeably. Gas hereafter means any gas that forms later gas bubbles this gas being not necessarily air.

A speed of contaminants removal by the SP resulted from a lack of surfactants and a constant growth of air bubbles in size. Hence a first basic idea of the proposed method is to utilize a removal of pollutants by unstable gas bubbles. Initially to be small these gas bubbles have to be formed from molecules of gas present within treated water.

These gas molecules within treated water can have different origin. One way is for these gas molecules to originate from some chemical reaction, taking place in the water after addition of a chemical. For example but not limited by this example the water was initially polluted with zinc carbonate. After an addition of phosphate carbon dioxide molecules will be released into the water accompanied by a formation of insoluble zinc phosphate. These gas molecules will form gas bubbles and zinc phosphate molecules will form particles, these particles will be attached to carbon dioxide gas bubbles or zinc phosphate can act as nuclei for bubbles to be formed..

In other case gas molecules can be previously dissolved within the treated water. This dissolution process can take place either under normal atmospheric pressure or said pressure could be increased like in a GAF and DAF. Gas bubbles formation can be caused either by a pressure drop (like in the GAF) or by turbulence within the treated water (like in the SP).

To obtain necessary turbulence the water could be also stirred for example by propellers, or turbines, or any similar objects within the water. Providing that the water is moving fast this turbulence could be caused by any obstacles to water movement like rocks, boulders, steps, dams, etc. Dams actually could be used to cause any water to move rapidly enough for the claimed method to be implemented. However to avoid big differences in lateral velocity and turbulence a waterfall after a dam is not an option.. The water has move via a ramp from this dam.

In the claimed method contrary to flotation no load of surfactants to later stabilize the gas bubbles is added to the water. At the same time surfactants-promoters of flotation could be added to the water prior a stage of gas bubbles formation only to promote an adhesion of these pollutants to the gas bubbles.

A vast majority of the gas bubbles in the claimed method decay almost immediately after reaching the water top, leaving the released contaminants there. These lifted contaminants could not be later removed with froth. Thus a second basic idea of the claimed method is based on a very slow precipitation rate of small contaminating agents. Providing a top water layer is not mixed with underlying main bulk of the treated water all lifted to the top contaminants would be located within some very thin top water layer for a considerable amount of time.

These collected contaminants have to be further removed with this top water layer. This top water layer could be later treated by any known method including several cycles of the claimed method for further concentration of pollutants within progressively smaller volumes of the water.

Another option to deal with concentrated within said top water layer pollutants is as follows. If said top water layer does not move at all or moves slowly with a constant delivery of new portions of contaminating agents there would be a concentration of these contaminants within said top water layer. This process of concentration eventually causes an aggregation of these small contaminants. Further such a concentration could result in large flocks formation followed by a precipitation of said flocks. These flocks later have to be removed either by filtration of a bulk of the water or from a site of accumulation for further treatment.

It would be beneficial if the top water layer volume is made as small as possible. This could be achieved by selecting a proper shape of a vessel, this vessel narrowing to the top. This vessel could be any object that contains the water or the water flows by.

A preferred embodiment of the claimed water treatment process takes place in a Tank 1 as it is presented by a FIG 1. A main part of said Tank 1 has a shape of a cylinder and a top part of said Tank 1 has a form of a cone. Water 7 fills almost all of a volume of said Tank 1. Some small Volume 6 within the conical part of said Tank 1 is occupied by a gas. A Propeller 3 mounted against a wall of said Tank 1 agitates said water and mixes it.

Gas bubbles within said water originate from gas molecules present in said water. The agitation of said water by said Propeller 3 causes turbulence within said water followed by a formation of gas bubbles. Contaminants within said water attach to said gas bubbles and said gas bubbles collect and deliver said contaminants to a water Top 8. Because no flocculants were added to said water said gas bubbles grow in size during rise to said water Top 8.

Said gas bubbles decay on said water Top 8 and release delivered contaminants. As a result with time a top water layer will be enriched with said contaminants. When the treatment process is finished, a predetermined top volume of said water is drained through a separate drainage Valve 5. Said drainage Valve 5 is mounted against the wall close a top of the conical part of said Tank 1.

In another preferred embodiment also presented by the FIG 1 said gas bubbles originate from gas previously dissolved in said water under pressure. Said gas is delivered by a Pipe 2. Said Pipe 2 has a form of a spiral with numerous small holes and said gas moves through said holes into said water and rises through said water. A pressure operated Valve 4 releases an excessive gas from said Volume 6 on the top of said Tank 1. Said gas bubbles are formed within said water after the Valve 4 is opened and the pressure within said water decreases.

In another preferred embodiment said gas bubbles are formed within said water and result both from said pressure decrease and said turbulence caused by said agitation by said Propeller 3.

In another preferred embodiment a Separator is mounted close to said water Top 8 within said Tank 1. Said Separator is a Diaphragm 9, in a construction similar to those in a photo-camera. The purpose of said Separator is to provide further separation of the water layers. During an agitation of said treated water by said Propeller 3 said Diaphragm 9 is opened, but when the treatment process is finished said Diaphragm 9 is closed, separating the enriched with the contaminants top water layer from a main body of said treated water. This separated top portion of said treated water could be treated later by any conventional method while said main water body would be much cleaner afterwards.

In another preferred embodiment of the claimed process both an upper part of said conical part of said Tank 1 is narrow enough and there is enough contaminating agents within said Tank 1 for a process of contaminants concentration on said water Top 8 to result in aggregation of said contaminants within said top water layer. Said aggregation is further followed by large enough flocks formation and eventual precipitation of said flocks into a bulk of said water. Later said water could be treated from said precipitate for example but not limited by this example by filtration.

A Schematics of a preferred embodiment of a continuous treatment process by the described method is presented by FIG 2 and FIG 3 . An Arrow shows a direction of the water movement. A FIG 2 is a view from the top while a FIG 3 presents a side view of the process. Water initially flows fast by some shallow Channel 21. Obstacles 28 located at a bottom of said Channel 21 cause turbulence within said water. Next said water flows by a Pond 22. Said Pond 22 is deep and wide and said water flows comparatively slow through said Pond 22, means comparatively to said water velocity within said Channel 21.

It takes some time to form gas bubbles from dissolved molecules. Although said turbulence are caused by said Obstacles 28, because said water moves fast, said turbulence cause formation of gas bubbles only when said water already flows within said Pond 22. Contaminants are attached to said gas bubbles and lifted to a water Top 29 within first part of said Pond 22, or a Zone 23 of gas bubbles formation and rise. As a

result a top water layer within said Zone 23 is enriched with delivered by said gas bubbles pollutants

A Separator 24 is placed within a next zone of said Pond 22 close to said water Top 29. This next zone follows said Zone 23 and is a zone where the process of said gas bubbles formation and rise is mostly finished. Mostly means that a majority of gas bubbles reach said water Top 29 within said Zone 23.

Said Separator 24 has a form of a thin lateral sheet. Said Separator 24 parts some top water layer from a main water body. Said main water body exits said Pond 22 by a Channel 27. Said top water layer, enriched with delivered by gas bubbles pollutants, flows above said Separator 24 and is further diverted by a vertical Bar 25 to flow into a Pipe 26. This part of the water is later treated from accumulated pollutants by any known method.

A problem with a separation of a top water layer from a bulk of it with a help of any separator is that such a separator perturbs water and could cause mixing of water layers. In order to avoid such a mixing a lateral speed of water layers has not to be changed, and a vertical component of water speed must not be created, or at least this vertical speed should be directed upwards. A problem of slowing of the top water layer by friction against this separator has to be addressed also.

In the preferred embodiment also presented by the FIG 2 to avoid or at least to mitigate these problems said Separator 24 is not long and an incline of said Pipe 26 is more than an incline of Channel 27 so said top water layer moves faster than said water main body. Because of this speeds difference in said preferred embodiment a considerable amount of water is removed through said Pipe 26 to be treated later.

This removed part of the water with concentrated contaminants can be treated separately by a repeated described process. As a result of several cycles of this process a volume of water with removed contaminants could be made small. This small volume will be less expensive to treat further from pollutants by any known method.

It would be beneficial if water layers separation takes place prior to enrichment of said top water layer with contaminants. In such a case said top water layer could move much slower than the rest of the water and so a desirable considerable concentration of pollutants within said top water layer could take place.

A Schematics of another preferred embodiment of the continuous treatment is presented also by the FIG 2. Differently from the previous preferred embodiment said Zone 23 is rather narrow and so a majority of gas bubbles are only formed within said Zone 23, while just formed gas bubbles collect contaminants, rise, and eventually decay within a next zone of said Pond 22. Said Separator 24 is placed above this zone of gas bubbles contaminants collection and rise Thus said Separator 24 has to perform two tasks simultaneously. The first task is to allow a vast majority of said gas bubbles to rise

through said Separator 24. The second task is to suppress a turbulent mixing of top water layer with underlying layers of said water. A problem of said water circular movement within said zone of gas bubbles formation and rise is addressed by a shape of said Pond 22.

To suppress a circular movement of water within said zone of said gas bubbles formation and rise said water main body lateral velocity there has to be uniform or at least not to differ very much. This task is achieved by a shape of said Zone 23. Said Zone 23 is more wide, deep and less inclined then said Channel 21 thus allowing a smooth transition from said Channel 21 to said Zone 23.

A preferred embodiment of said Separator 24 is presented by a FIG 4. Said Separator 24 is constructed in a form of plain thick lateral Sheet 31. This Sheet 31 is constructed from Cells 33 in a form of lattice or honeycomb wherein said cells are opened from both ends and thus said gas bubbles can rise through said Separator 24. A height and width of the individual Cell 33 in said lattice or honeycomb is sufficient enough to prevent a turbulent movement of water through it. For said Separator 24 to be rigid enough Walls 32 of said Cells 33 have to be manufactured from an appropriate material, for example, but not limited to it, from steel or other metal.

Another preferred embodiment of said Separator 24 is as follows. Said Separator 24 consists from a lower and an upper parts. A lower part of said Separator 24 is similar to the previous embodiment presented by the FIG 4. Similarly to the embodiment presented by the FIG 4 this lower part has a form of a thick lateral Sheet 31. Differently to the embodiment presented by the FIG 4 opened from both ends Cells 33 are cone-shaped narrowing to the top.

Said Cells 33 are both long and narrow enough to suppress turbulence within said Cells 33. Said Cells 33 end into thin vertical Pipes 43. Above said upper part of said Separator 42 is presented by a FIG 5. Said upper part is a Tank 41. Said Pipes 42 end into said Tank 41 and do not reach water Surface 44 within said Tank 41. In one of preferred embodiments said vertical Pipes 42 are only within said Tank 41, thus said upper part of said Separator 24 is mounted directly on the top of said lower part. In another preferred embodiment there is a distance between said upper and lower parts and said upper and lower parts are connected only by said Pipes 42.

Said gas bubbles rise through said Cells 33 and further through said Pipes 42 and eventually reach said water Surface 44 within said Tank 41. Said gas bubbles decay at said water Surface 44 leaving delivered contaminants to float on or close to said water Surface 44. A continuous accumulation of said delivered contaminants at said water Surface 44 eventually results in an aggregation followed by a flocculation. Large flocks precipitate to the bottom of said Tank 41 and accumulate there to be further removed for treatment by any known method. A shield 43 prevents these flocks from returning to the main water body.

In another embodiment of the described method said Separator 24 also consists of two parts. The lower one is the same with the previous embodiment. The upper part is also presented by the FIG 5. A separate flotation process takes place within said Tank 41. Load of surfactants are added to the water within said Tank 41. Adsorption of said surfactants stabilize gas bubbles within said Tank 41 and thus froth is accumulated on said water Surface 44. Pollutants are removed later altogether with said froth like in any conventional flotation. There has to be an on going addition of said surfactants to the water in the Tank 41 to compensate said adsorption.

Some surfactants molecules would enter said Pipes 43 and go down in the direction of the main water body. However this movement is a diffusion and therefore slow. At the same time fast rising gas bubbles will absorb these molecules within said Pipes 43 and Cells 33. Therefore if the surfactants concentration within the Tank 41 is not excessive, the release of the surfactants into the main water body would not take place. This implies that said surfactants addition has to be a continuous process rather than once in a time.

The idea of an Apparatus for water treatment by not stabilized gas bubbles originating from gas dissolved under a substantial pressure is based on a very well known fact that a pressure at a bottom of a water tower is determined by the height of this tower and this pressure decreases along the height.

In a preferred embodiment of the claimed Apparatus water is already under a sufficient pressure and there is a substantial amount of gas dissolved within said water. This can take place, for example but not limited to this example, when a groundwater has to be treated. This water can enter directly at a bottom of a water tower. With a further rise a pressure decrease will cause gas bubbles formation within said water and further pollutants removal by said gas bubbles to a top of said water. Further a top layer of said water is dealt with by any of the processes of said water treatment described previously.

In another preferred embodiment said water has to be saturated with some gas prior to entering a bottom of said water tower for further treatment. Gas is delivered to said gas dissolution unit and mixed with said treated water. Said gas is dissolved in said water during some time. For example but not limited to this example air can be delivered by some pipe to a bottom of said gas dissolution unit. Gas bubbles will be further released at this bottom and rise through said water, during this process gas molecules being dissolved within said water. An excess of said gas will be collected at a top of said gas dissolution unit and later released through a pressure operated valve.

When a pressure within said treated water later decreases already existing in said water large gas bubbles will act as centers of dissolved gas molecules collection. Thus gas bubbles within the water will compete for dissolved gas molecules with contaminants. That is why no gas bubbles should be present in said water at an exit from said gas dissolution unit. Therefore there has to be a sufficient time for all existing within said water gas bubbles to reach a top of said gas dissolution unit prior to said water exits from



said gas dissolution unit. At the end of this gas saturation process said gas is present within said treated water only as dissolved gas molecules

Thus if an exit of a gas dissolution unit is connected to a bottom of a water tower with a sufficient height no violent eruption of the water takes place.

A preferred embodiment of the claimed process of a water treatment from pollutants on a continuous basis is presented by the FIG 6. An Arrow 51 shows a direction of water movement. Said water enters a treatment Apparatus by flowing down through a vertical Shaft 52. Said vertical Shaft 52 is connected at the bottom to a second Part of said Apparatus which is a Gas Saturation Unit. Said Gas Saturation Unit is a Tunnel 53 connected at the other end to a third Part of said Apparatus. In a case when water to be treated is already pressurized like water from a groundwater source it can enter directly said Gas Saturation Unit. In a case when there is a desired concentration of dissolved gas within said water said water could enter the third part of said Apparatus directly, thus omitting said Gas Dissolution Unit.

While moving through said Tunnel 53 said water is saturated with gas delivered to said Tunnel 53 under a predetermined pressure, said gas being not necessarily air. An excess of said gas is concentrated at the top of said Tunnel 53 and exits from said Tunnel 53 by not shown some valve so no gas bubbles are present within treated water at an exit of said Tunnel 53. Eventually said water moves into a bottom part of a Shaft 54 which acts as a Part 1 of a flotation Chamber. Surfactants-flotation promoters could be added at the end of said Tunnel 53.

Said flotation Chamber comprises several parts following each other. Initially within said flotation Chamber said water rises by flowing through a Shaft 54. Said Shaft 54 is both vertical (at least partly) and narrow and a pressure drop within said Shaft 54 initiates a process of gas bubbles formation there. Because said water moves fast through said Shaft 54 gas bubbles only start to form and to collect pollutants within said Shaft 54.

Further said water flows through a Part 2 of said flotation Chamber which is a Tunnel 55. Said Tunnel 55 is of a much larger cross-section than said Shaft 54. Thus said water moves much more slowly within said Tunnel 55 wherein also a majority of said gas bubbles are formed and reach a top of said water within said Tunnel 55. As it is presented by the FIG.6 a height of said Shaft 52 is substantially more than a height of said Shaft 54 and said water is under a substantial pressure within said Tunnel 55.

At a said Tunnel 55 top there is a set of conical Cavities 56. Said Cavities 56 end into Pipes 57. Said Pipes 57 are both thin and vertical. Said Pipes 57 end into the Tank 41 presented by the FIG 5, these Pipes 57 are the same with Pipes 42 of the FIG 5. Said substantial pressure within said Tunnel 55 is sufficient enough for said conical Cavities 56, and the following Pipes 57, and a part of said Tank 41 to be filled with water.

Said water flows further by said Tunnel 55 and leaves the described Apparatus. Said gas bubbles rise to the top of said Tunnel 55 and further through conical Cavities 56. Eventually said gas bubbles rise through Pipes 57 and decay at said water Top 44 within said Tank 41. As it was presented previously within said Tank 41 a removal of delivered by said gas bubbles contaminants takes place, either through a process of an aggregation and a precipitation, or as a flotation.

Another preferred embodiment of the claimed process of a water treatment from pollutants with the claimed Apparatus presented by the FIG 6 is as follows. If a dissolved gas concentration of in the water after a Gas Saturation Unit exceeds a gas concentration necessary to treat this water then this water with dissolved gas could be used for treatment of additional volumes of water. This could take place when the contaminants concentration in the water is not large or varies with time. Thus some additional part of the treated water flows bypassing the Gas Saturation Unit directly to the bottom of said Shaft 54 to be mixed with the gas-saturated portion of the water prior to the gas bubbles formation.

If the mixing of these two portions of the water takes place at the time of the gas bubbles formation, then the resulting turbulence would promote the process of gas bubbles formation. Later the process of contaminants removal would go similarly to the previous case of the gas saturated water.

The presented invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the ideas of it. Thus the presented embodiment have to be considered only as illustrated and not restrictive. Therefore the scope of the invention is defined by the claims rather than by presented description.